

copy 70.12
A. 1426

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Copy No. 12
RM No. L7J09

Inactive

21 OCT 1947



H
VOUS
ETH
11

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

for the

Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF AN 0.08-SCALE
MODEL OF THE CHANCE VOUGHT XF7U-1 AIRPLANE IN THE
LANGLEY HIGH-SPEED 7- BY 10-FOOT TUNNEL
PART V - WING-ALONE TESTS AND EFFECT OF MODIFICATIONS
THE VERTICAL FINS, SPEED BRAKES, AND FUSELAGE
TED NO. NACA DE308

By

Richard E. Kuhn and Boyd C. Myers, II

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory
Langley Field, Va.

CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT

This document contains classified information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, USC 7931 and 793. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. Information so classified may be imparted only to persons in the military and naval services of the United States, appropriate civilian officers and employees of the Federal Government who have a legitimate interest therein, and to United States citizens of known loyalty and discretion who of necessity must be informed thereof.

Classification Information
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED

Authority ~~Dec 6 1958~~ ~~Feb 29 1958~~ Date ~~3/11/58~~

By ~~Dec 6 1958~~ ~~Feb 23 1958~~ See ~~3/11/58~~

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

WASHINGTON

OCT 10 1947

NACA LIBRARY

CONFIDENTIAL
LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICAL
LABORATORY
Langley Field, Va.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

for the

Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF AN 0.08-SCALE
MODEL OF THE CHANCE VOUGHT XF7U-1 AIRPLANE IN THE
LANGLEY HIGH-SPEED 7- BY 10-FOOT TUNNEL

PART V - WING-ALONE TESTS AND EFFECT OF MODIFICATIONS TO
THE VERTICAL FINS, SPEED BRAKES, AND FUSELAGE

TED NO. NACA DE308

By Richard E. Kuhn and Boyd C. Myers, II

SUMMARY

Tests have been conducted in the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel over a Mach number range from 0.40 to 0.91 to determine the stability and control characteristics of an 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 airplane. The wing-alone tests and the effect of the various vertical-fin modifications, speed-brake modifications, and fuselage modifications on the aerodynamic characteristics in pitch and yaw are presented in the present paper with a limited analysis of the results. Also included are tuft studies of the flow for some of the modifications tested.

INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department, an investigation of the stability and control characteristics of an 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 airplane was conducted in the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel.

This paper presents the results of wing-alone tests and the effect of the various modifications to the vertical fins, speed brakes, and fuselage on the aerodynamic characteristics of the model in pitch and yaw.

[Redacted]

The results include lift, drag, and pitching-moment data over an angle-of-attack range for the various configurations at 0° and -4.4° control deflection and include yawing-moment, side force, and rolling-moment data over a yaw range of $\pm 4^\circ$ for the various configurations at static angles of attack of 0° and 6° . The results are presented for a range of Mach numbers varying from 0.40 to 0.91. Tuft studies of the flow for some configurations are also presented.

This paper is issued with the purpose of presenting the data available at present from high-speed tests of the 0.08-scale model of the XF7U-1 airplane. Accordingly, no detailed analysis of the data has been made. The basic longitudinal and lateral stability characteristics are presented in references 1 and 2, respectively. The longitudinal control characteristics are presented in reference 3 and the aileron characteristics are presented in reference 4.

COEFFICIENTS AND SYMBOLS

The system of axes used for the presentation of the data, together with an indication of the positive forces, moments, and angles, is presented in figure 1. Pertinent symbols are defined as follows:

C_L	lift coefficient $\left(\frac{\text{Lift}}{qS} \right)$
C_D	drag coefficient $\left(\frac{\text{Drag}}{qS} \right)$
C_m	pitching-moment coefficient measured about the 17 percent M.G.C. position $\left(\frac{\text{Pitching moment}}{qSc^2} \right)$
C_Y	lateral-force coefficient $\left(\frac{Y}{qS} \right)$
C_n	yawing-moment coefficient $\left(\frac{N}{qSb} \right)$
C_l	rolling-moment coefficient $\left(\frac{L}{qSb} \right)$
Y	lateral force measured along Y-axis
L	rolling moment about X-axis
N	yawing moment about Z-axis

- q free-stream dynamic pressure, pounds per square foot $(\frac{\rho V^2}{2})$
- S wing area (3.174 sq ft on model)
- c' wing mean geometric chord (M.G.C.)(1.046 ft on model)
- c chord, parallel to plane of symmetry
- c₁ chord, perpendicular to 0.25c line
- b wing span (3.093 ft on model)
- V air velocity, feet per second
- a speed of sound, feet per second
- M Mach number $(\frac{V}{a})$
- R Reynolds number $(\frac{\rho V c'}{\mu})$
- μ absolute viscosity, pound-seconds per square foot
- ρ mass density of air, slugs per cubic foot
- α angle of attack, measured from X-axis to the fuselage center line, degrees
- ψ angle of yaw, degrees
- α_{static} angle of attack under no-load conditions, degrees
- δ control-surface deflection with reference to wing chord line parallel to plane of symmetry, degrees (c)

$$C_{n\psi} = \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial \psi}$$

$$C_{l\psi} = \frac{\partial C_l}{\partial \psi}$$

$$C_{Y\psi} = \frac{\partial C_Y}{\partial \psi}$$

$$C_{L\alpha} = \frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \alpha}$$

Subscripts:

a_l left aillavator

a_r right aillavator

S speed brakes

M Mach number

APPARATUS AND METHODS

Model

The 0.08-scale steel model of the XF7U-1 airplane used in this investigation was constructed by the Chance Vought Division of the United Aircraft Corporation. Pertinent dimensions of the model are presented in figure 2. The control surfaces (ailavators) were constant-chord, true-contour flaps with sealed gaps.

Tests

The various configurations were tested through the Mach number range at various angles of attack and yaw with 0° and -4.4° aillavator deflection. The model was tested on a sting support as shown in figure 3. In order to evaluate the tares, the model was also tested on wing-tip stings (fig. 4) with and without the center sting. A more complete description of the testing technique employed is given in reference 1.

The variation of test Reynolds number with Mach number for average test conditions is presented in figure 5. The size of the model used in the present investigation resulted in a corrected tunnel choking Mach number of about 0.94. Experience has indicated that with this value of choking Mach number the data should be reliable up to a corrected Mach number of about 0.91.

Corrections

The test results have been corrected for the tare forces and moments produced by the support system except for a small constant

pitching-moment and rolling-moment coefficient which appear to be inherent in the support system but which were not accounted for in the tare determination. The origin of these moments has not been determined as yet but the data in this paper can be corrected by subtracting 0.003 from the pitching-moment coefficients presented and 0.0008 from the rolling-moment coefficients presented. Similar corrections are applicable to the data presented in references 1 to 4.

The jet-boundary corrections were computed from the following equations which were determined by the method of reference 5.

$$\alpha = \alpha_M + 0.331 C_{L_M}$$

$$C_D = C_{D_M} + 0.0058 C_{L_M}^2$$

where the subscript M indicates measured value. The jet-boundary correction to the pitching moment was considered negligible.

The drag has been corrected for the buoyancy produced by the small longitudinal static-pressure gradient in the tunnel and all coefficients and Mach numbers were corrected for blocking by the model and its wake.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Basic Components

Longitudinal stability and control.—The aerodynamic characteristics in pitch of the wing alone, the complete model with the speed brakes open, the complete model with the vertical fins removed, and the complete model with the fuselage canopy removed are presented in figures 6 and 7. Inasmuch as the various configurations were not all tested at identically the same Mach number, it was necessary to cross-plot the original test results at constant Mach number to obtain the data of figures 6 and 7. The pitching-moment coefficients are presented about a center of gravity located at 17 percent of the mean geometric chord.

The effect of the various configurations on $\left(\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial C_{L_M}}\right)$ is presented in figure 8, and their effect on the lift-curve slope in the low lift range is presented in figure 9. The variation of lift-curve slope with

Mach number for the complete model presented in figure 9 does not agree exactly with that presented in reference 1. The lift-curve slopes of reference 1 were obtained from the basic data at zero control deflection. Subsequent lift data obtained with deflected controls (reference 3) has led to a more judicious fairing of the original lift data for zero control deflection and the lift-curve slopes obtained from the refaired data are presented in figure 9.

The effectiveness of the ailavators in producing changes in pitching-moment coefficient and lift coefficient at zero angle of attack is presented in figures 10 and 11, respectively. The effectiveness parameters $\left(\frac{\Delta C_m}{\Delta \delta}\right)_{0^\circ \text{ to } -4.4^\circ}$ and $\left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta \delta}\right)_{0^\circ \text{ to } -4.4^\circ}$ are based on data obtained at ailavator deflections of 0° and -4.4° only.

Tuft studies of the flow over the wing of the complete model for several angles of attack and Mach numbers are presented in figure 12.

Lateral stability.— The variation of lateral stability characteristics with Mach number ($\alpha_{\text{static}} = 0^\circ$ and 6°) for several configurations of the model are presented in figures 13 and 14. During the test runs in which these data were obtained, the lift coefficient varied as indicated by the curves in figure 15. The angle-of-attack change from the wind-off static values ($\alpha_{\text{static}} = 0^\circ$ and 6°) was caused by the deflection of the support system under aerodynamic load and is indicated by the values of the actual angle of attack shown in figure 15. The lateral stability derivatives C_{n_y} , C_{Y_y} , and C_{l_y} for static angles of attack of 0° and 6° are presented in figure 16. It will be noted that at a static angle of attack of 0° , the speed brakes produced a small amount of negative effective dihedral at the higher Mach numbers.

Speed-Brake Modifications

Force tests and tuft studies were conducted with the complete model using the four different arrangements of speed brakes shown in figure 17. Tuft studies of the flow over the model with the original speed brakes (fig. 18(a)) indicated bad separation of the flow over the vertical fins, particularly the inboard surface, over most of the Mach number range. In an effort to improve this condition the other speed-brake configurations were tested and the results of the tuft studies with these configurations are presented in figures 18(b) to 18(d). On the basis of those tuft observations, it appears that all the modifications tested eliminated the poor flow conditions evident at the vertical fin with the original configuration.

The effect of these speed-brake configurations on the aerodynamic characteristics in pitch is presented in figure 19 for a static angle of attack of 1.8° . The variation of the drag increments (ΔC_D), produced by the various speed brakes, with Mach number is presented in figure 20. It is evident from these data that the modified wing brakes produced considerably larger drag increments than the fuselage brakes.

Vertical-Fin Modifications

Tuft studies of the flow over the original vertical fins (fig. 21(a)) indicated that the flow over the part below the wing chord line from the maximum thickness rearward is very rough and has a tendency to separate at high Mach numbers. Several modifications of this part of the vertical fin were tested in an attempt to improve this flow condition. A sketch of the original fin and the three modifications tested is shown in figure 22. Modifications 1 and 2 effected no improvement in the flow conditions but tuft studies of the flow with modification number 3 did indicate some improvement (fig. 21(b)), in that the flow did not show any tendency to separate at any point in the Mach number range covered by these tests.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
Langley Field, Va.

Richard E. Kuhn
Richard E. Kuhn
Aeronautical Engineer

Boyd C. Myers, II
Boyd C. Myers, II
Aeronautical Engineer

Approved:

Thomas A. Harris



Thomas A. Harris
Chief of Stability Research Division

MLE

REFERENCES

1. Kemp, William B., Jr., Kuhn, Richard E., and Goodson, Kenneth W.: An Investigation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of an 0.08-Scale Model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 Airplane in the Langley High-Speed 7- by 10-Foot Tunnel. Part I - Basic Longitudinal Stability Characteristics. TED No. NACA DE308. NACA RM No. L7G08, Bur. Aero., 1947.
2. Kemp, William B., Jr., Goodson, Kenneth W., and Kuhn, Richard E.: An Investigation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of an 0.08-Scale Model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 Airplane in the Langley High-Speed 7- by 10-Foot Tunnel. Part II - Basic Lateral Stability Characteristics. TED No. NACA DE308. NACA RM No. L7G10, Bur. Aero., 1947.
3. Kuhn, Richard E., and King, Thomas J., Jr.: An Investigation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of an 0.08-Scale Model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 Airplane in the Langley High-Speed 7- by 10-Foot Tunnel. Part III - Longitudinal Control Characteristics. TED No. NACA DE308. NACA RM No. L7H01, Bur. Aero., 1947.
4. Goodson, Kenneth W., and Myers, Boyd C., II: An Investigation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of an 0.08-Scale Model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 Airplane in the Langley High-Speed 7- by 10-Foot Tunnel. Part IV - Aileron Characteristics. TED No. NACA DE308. NACA RM No. L7H22, Bur. Aero., 1947.
5. Gillis, Clarence L., Polhamus, Edward C., and Gray, Joseph L., Jr.: Charts for Determining Jet-Boundary Corrections for Complete Models in 7- by 10-Foot Closed Rectangular Wind Tunnels. NACA ARR No. L5G31, 1945.

NACA RM No. L7J09




View A-A

Figure 1.- System of axes and control-surface deflections. Positive values of forces, moments, and angles are indicated by arrows.

2267 2

NACA RM NO. L7709



TABULATED DATA

Wing

Area	3.174 sq ft
Aspect ratio	3.014
Mean geometric chord	1.046 ft
Incidence	0°
Dihedral	0°
Airfoil (perpendicular to 0.25c)	Symmetrical
Max. thickness	0.12c
Location of max. thickness	0.40c
Vertical tail	
Area (two)	0.82 sq ft
Aspect ratio	1.75
CG location	0.17 MGC.




Figure 2—General arrangement of 0.08-scale model of Chance Vought XFTU-1 airplane.

2267 3

NACA RM. NO. 1709




Figure 3.- Photograph of the 0.08-scale model of the XF7U-1 airplane mounted on the center sting at a positive angle of attack.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS
LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY - LANGLEY FIELD, VA


2207 8
NACA RM No. L7109



Figure 4.- Photograph of the 0.08-scale model of the XF7U-1 airplane with vertical tails removed mounted on the wing supports with center sting in place.


2267 6

NACA RM No. L7J09




10


NACA RM No. L7J09

10
20
30
40

2267 6


NACA RM No. L709





2287 6

NACA RM No. L7J09







Figure 6 - Continued.

2267 6

NACA RM No. L7J09







Figure 6.-Continued.


2267 8

NACA RM No. L7J09




0
0.022


NACA RM No. L7J09



2287 6


NACA RM No. L7J09






2267 8

NACA RM No. L7109





NACA RM No. L7J09.



2267 6


NACA RM No. L7J09





2267 6

NACA RM No. L7J09






Figure 7 - Fins off and wing alone characteristics
of the 0.08-scale model of the Chance
Vought XA7U-1 airplane.

2007

NACA RM No. L7J09






Figure 7 is continued.


NACA RM No. L7J09



2367

NACA RM No. L709





NACA RM No. L709

CL vs α for complete model

$\alpha = 10^\circ$

$\alpha = 15^\circ$

$\alpha = 20^\circ$

Lift coefficient = 1.0


CL vs α for complete model

IGM-18 709 controlled

1970 - 1971 NACA

Figure 7. Cont'd.

NACA RM No. L7J09




2067 7


NACA RM No. L709

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	8010	8011	8012	8013	8014	8015	8016	8017	8018	8019	8020	8021	8022	8023	8024	8025	8026	8027	8028	8029	8030	8031	8032	8033	8034	8035	8036	8037	8038	8039	8040	8041	8042	8043	8044	8045	8046	8047	8048	8049	8050	8051	8052	8053	8054	8055	8056	8057	8058	8059	8060	8061	8062	8063	8064	8065	8066	8067	8068	8069	8070	8071	8072	8073	8074	8075	8076	8077	8078	8079	8080	8081	8082	8083	8084	8085	8086	8087	8088	8089	8090	8091	8092	8093	8094	8095	8096	8097	8098	8099	80100	80101	80102	80103	80104	80105	80106	80107	80108	80109	80110	80111	80112	80113	80114	80115	80116	80117	80118	80119	80120	80121	80122	80123	80124	80125	80126	80127	80128	80129	80130	80131	80132	80133	80134	80135	80136	80137	80138	80139	80140	80141	80142	80143	80144	80145	80146	80147	80148	80149	80150	80151	80152	80153	80154	80155	80156	80157	80158	80159	80160	80161	80162	80163	80164	80165	80166	80167	80168	80169	80170	80171	80172	80173	80174	80175	80176	80177	80178	80179	80180	80181	80182	80183	80184	80185	80186	80187	80188	80189	80190	80191	80192	80193	80194	80195	80196	80197	80198	80199	80200	80201	80202	80203	80204	80205	80206	80207	80208	80209	80210	80211	80212	80213	80214	80215	80216	80217	80218	80219	80220	80221	80222	80223	80224	80225	80226	80227	80228	80229	80230	80231	80232	80233	80234	80235	80236	80237	80238	80239	80240	80241	80242	80243	80244	80245	80246	80247	80248	80249	80250	80251	80252	80253	80254	80255	80256	80257	80258	80259	80260	80261	80262	80263	80264	80265	80266	80267	80268	80269	80270	80271	80272	80273	80274	80275	80276	80277	80278	80279	80280	80281	80282	80283	80284	80285	80286	80287	80288	80289	80290	80291	80292	80293	80294	80295	80296	80297	80298	80299	80300	80301	80302	80303	80304	80305	80306	80307	80308	80309	80310	80311	80312	80313	80314	80315	80316	80317	80318	80319	80320	80321	80322	80323	80324	80325	80326	80327	80328	80329	80330	80331	80332	80333	80334	80335	80336	80337	80338	80339	80340	80341	80342	80343	80344	80345	80346	80347	80348	80349	80350	80351	80352	80353	80354	80355	80356	80357	80358	80359	80360	80361	80362	80363	80364	80365	80366	80367	80368	80369	80370	80371	80372	80373	80374	80375	80376	80377	80378	80379	80380	80381	80382	80383	80384	80385	80386	80387	80388	80389	80390	80391	80392	80393	80394	80395	80396	80397	80398	80399	80400	80401	80402	80403	80404	80405	80406	80407	80408	80409	80410	80411	80412	80413	80414	80415	80416	80417	80418	80419	80420	80421	80422	80423	80424	80425	80426	80427	80428	80429	80430	80431	80432	80433	80434	80435	80436	80437	80438	80439	80440	80441	80442	80443	80444	80445	80446	80447	80448	80449	80450	80451	80452	80453	80454	80455	80456	80457	80458	80459	80460	80461	80462	80463	80464	80465	80466	80467	80468	80469	80470	80471	80472	80473	80474	80475	80476	80477	80478	80479	80480	80481	80482	80483	80484	80485	80486	80487	80488	80489	80490	80491	80492	80493	80494	80495	80496	80497	80498	80499	80500	80501	80502	80503	80504	80505	80506	80507	80508	80509	80510	80511	80512	80513	80514	80515	80516	80517	80518	80519	80520	80521	80522	80523	80524	80525	80526	80527	80528	80529	80530	80531	80532	80533	80534	80535	80536	80537	80538	80539	80540	80541	80542	80543	80544	80545	80546	80547	80548	80549	80550	80551	80552	80553	80554	80555	80556	80557	80558	80559	80560	80561	80562</


NACA RM No. L7J09



2267 7
NACA RM No. LTJ09





NACA RM No. L7J09




2267 7


NACA RM No. LTJ09






2367 NACA RM No. L7J09






NACA RM No. L7J09

2267



2007 8

NACA RM No. L7709



2267.9

NACA RM No. L7709





Figure 9 - Variation of lift curve slope with Mach number
for four lift coefficients for various configurations of the
0.08 scale model of the Chance-Vought XF-101 airplane


226710

NACA RM No. LTJ09



226711

NACA RM No. L7J09



9
11
P...
D
M
C4

NACA RM No. L7J09




Figure 12.- Tuft studies over the wing at various angles of attack and Mach numbers of the 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XFTU-1 airplane; $\psi=0^\circ$.



9
10
11
12
13
14
15





Figure 12-Concluded.


OCT 20 1968

NACA RM No. L7J09




0
0
0
0
0

NACA RM No. L7J09




2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
NACA RM No. L7J09




卷之三


NACA RM No. L7J09




0
0
0
0
0
0
0

NACA RM No. L7J09








NACA RM No. L7J09




NACA RM No. L7J09












NACA RM No. L7J09




NACA RM No. L7J09




NACA RM No. L7J09





226715

NACA RM No. L709







Figure 16. Variation with Mach number of the lateral stability characteristics for several configurations of the 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XF-7U-1 airplane.

NACA RM No. L7J09



226717

NACA RM No. LTJ09




Figure 17.-General arrangement of views for tuft study presentation and the various speed-brake arrangements tested on the 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 airplane.

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0





Figure 18.- Tuft studies over the fuselage, outboard vertical fin and inboard vertical fin surfaces at various Mach numbers for the various speed brake configurations of the 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 airplane; $\alpha = 2^\circ$; $\psi = 0^\circ$.

80
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94


- Smooth
- Unsteady
- Rough
- Separated

0 5
Scale, inches
NACA

(b) Original speed brakes, 20% area removed.

Figure 18.-Continued.

0
0
P
E
R
C
O




(c) Fuselage speed brakes deflected 30°.


Figure 18.-Continued.

6
0
0
R
O
C
H

NACA RM No. L7J09



- Smooth
- ▨ Unsteady
- ▨ Rough
- ☒ Separated



0 5
Scale, inches

(d) Fuselage speed brakes deflected 60°

Figure 18.- Concluded.









Figure 10.—Effect of various speed-brake configurations on the aerodynamic characteristics in pitch of the 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XF7U-1 airplane.




226720

NACA RM No. L7J09



24
25
26
27
28 $M=0.875$  $M=0.890$  $M=0.900$  $M=0.910$

(a) Original Vertical Fin.

 $M=0.875$  $M=0.890$  $M=0.900$  $M=0.910$

(b) Modified Vertical Fin No.3.

- Smooth
- Unsteady
- Rough
- Separated



Figure 21.- Tuft studies over the cutboard surface of the vertical fin for various Mach numbers for the original and a modified vertical tail of the 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XFTU-1 airplane; $\alpha=2^\circ$, $\psi=0^\circ$.

C
C
R
S
S

NACA RM No. L7J09




Figure 22.- Various vertical fin modifications of the 0.08-scale model of the Chance Vought XFTU-1 airplane.